

**Optional Flexibility Provision (50% Excess)
FY 2012 (Federal FY 2011)**

Unit	(Federal FY 2011) FY 2012 Allocation	(Federal FY 2010) FY 2011 Allocation	Increase/Decrease	50% Increase
Bismarck	\$2,612,086	\$2,619,588	(7,502)	
Burleigh County	\$45,279	\$41,574	3,705	1,853
Dickinson	\$720,828	\$714,556	6,271	3,136
East Central	\$323,636	\$325,292	(1,656)	
Emmons County	\$161,215	\$162,974	(1,758)	
Fargo	\$2,691,524	\$2,715,619	(24,095)	
Fort Totten	\$55,906	\$53,352	2,553	1,277
Grand Forks	\$1,892,795	\$1,901,160	(8,366)	
Griggs/Steele/Trail	\$471,633	\$475,893	(4,260)	
James River	\$366,087	\$363,147	2,939	1,470
Jamestown	\$654,492	\$652,584	1,908	954
Lake Region	\$1,097,371	\$1,108,929	(11,558)	
Lonetree	\$351,194	\$353,812	(2,618)	
Morton/Sioux	\$1,159,381	\$1,147,402	11,978	5,989
Northern Plains	\$234,238	\$229,835	4,402	2,201
Oliver/Mercer	\$389,259	\$389,019	239	120
Peace Garden	\$796,094	\$803,317	(7,223)	
Pembina	\$244,202	\$248,029	(3,827)	
Rural Cass	\$456,585	\$462,206	(5,621)	
Sheyenne Valley	\$598,237	\$603,535	(5,298)	
Souris Valley	\$3,189,806	\$3,142,382	47,424	23,712
South Central Prairie	\$309,460	\$303,627	5,833	2,917
South Valley	\$653,503	\$680,980	(27,477)	
Southwest	\$165,701	\$171,261	(5,560)	
Standing Rock	\$79,774	\$79,990	(216)	
Turtle Mountain	\$70,014	\$73,831	(3,817)	
Upper Valley	\$777,570	\$798,085	(20,515)	
Wahpeton	\$324,079	\$323,193	887	443
West Fargo	\$1,472,363	\$1,441,045	31,317	15,659
West River	\$579,512	\$590,989	(11,477)	
Wilmac	\$1,125,194	\$1,090,925	34,270	17,135
ND School for Deaf	\$26,279	\$27,164	(885)	
STATE TOTALS	\$24,095,295	\$24,095,295		

Under section 613(a)(2)(c), in any fiscal year that an LEA's IDEA allocation exceeds the amount the LEA received in the previous year, under certain circumstances, the LEA may reduce the level of state and local expenditures by 50% of the amount of the increase, as long as the LEA uses those freed-up local funds for activities that could be supported under the ESEA. If an LEA takes advantage of this provision, the required MOE for future years in reduced consistent with the reduction it took, unless the LEA increases the amount of its state and local expenditures on its own.

An LEA can exercise this option in Table 1 of the MOE worksheet. Supporting documentation must be maintained to verify the LEA used freed-up local funds, consistent with the reduction, towards activities that could be supported under the ESEA. NDDPI will collect information on use of the freed-up funds at the end of the fiscal (school) year.